

# STAT260 Problem Set 3

Due October 24th in class

## Regular problems:

1. Prove the following invariance properties of Poincaré distributions:

- If  $X \sim p$  is  $\sigma$ -Poincaré and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz, then  $f(X)$  is  $(L\sigma)$ -Poincaré.
- If  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n, Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are independent and both  $\sigma$ -Poincaré, then the ordered pair  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  is  $\sigma$ -Poincaré.

2. For a distribution  $p$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $p_k(v) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[\langle x, v \rangle^k]$  and observe that  $p_k$  is a polynomial in  $v$ . Give sum-of-squares proofs for the following facts:

- If  $1 \leq a \leq b$  are both odd then  $p_a(v)p_b(v) \preceq_{\text{sos}} p_{a-1}(v)p_{b+1}(v)$ .
- If  $2 \leq a \leq b$  are both even then  $p_a(v)p_b(v) \preceq_{\text{sos}} p_{a-2}(v)p_{b+2}(v)$ .

*[Hint: Start by showing that for every even  $r$ ,  $x_r - x^{r-1}y - xy^{r-1} + y^r \succeq_{\text{sos}} 0$  (as a polynomial in  $x$  and  $y$ ), and similarly  $x^r - x^{r-2}y^2 - x^2y^{r-2} + y^r \succeq_{\text{sos}} 0$ . This may require some clever factorization/algebra.]*

3. In class we defined sets  $\mathcal{G}^\downarrow$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\uparrow$  for an arbitrary loss  $L(p, \theta)$ . Here we consider the following generalized construction, that also incorporates a *bridge function*  $B(p, \theta)$ :

$$\mathcal{G}^\downarrow(\rho_1, \epsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p \mid B(r, \theta^*(p)) \leq \rho_1 \text{ whenever } r \leq \frac{p}{1 - \epsilon}\}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^\uparrow(\rho_1, \rho_2, \epsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p \mid L(p, \theta) \leq \rho_2 \text{ whenever } B(r, \theta) \leq \rho_1 \text{ for some } r \leq \frac{p}{1 - \epsilon}, \theta\}. \quad (2)$$

Show that the modulus of continuity of  $\mathcal{G}^\downarrow(\rho_1, \epsilon) \cap \mathcal{G}^\uparrow(\rho_1, \rho_2, \epsilon)$  under  $L$  is at most  $\rho_2$ .

4. Here we bound the modulus of continuity for linear classification. Given  $(x, y) \sim p$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \{\pm 1\}$ , Define  $L(p, \theta) = \mathbb{P}_{(x,y) \sim p}[y \neq \text{sign}(\langle x, \theta \rangle)]$  and  $B(p, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p}[\max(0, 1 - y\langle x, \theta \rangle)]$ , and let  $\theta^*(p)$  be the minimizer of  $B(p, \theta)$ . Suppose that  $p$  satisfies the following two properties:

- $\mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p}[\max(0, 1 - y\langle x, \theta^*(p) \rangle)] \leq (1 - \epsilon)\rho_1$
- Whenever  $\mathbb{P}_{(x,y) \sim p}[y\langle x, \theta \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}] \leq \epsilon + 2(1 - \epsilon)\rho_1$  for some  $\theta$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_{(x,y) \sim p}[y\langle x, \theta \rangle \leq 0] \leq \rho_2$ .

Show that  $p \in \mathcal{G}^\downarrow(\rho_1, \epsilon) \cap \mathcal{G}^\uparrow(\rho_2, \epsilon)$ .

*[Remark: Note that the second condition is a type of tail bound, where in every direction where we are somewhat unlikely to be close to the boundary, we are very unlikely to cross the boundary entirely.]*

5. Define the norm  $\|x\|_{\mathcal{S}_k} = \max_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1, \|v\|_0 \leq k} \langle x, v \rangle$ .

- (a) What is the dual norm to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_k}$ ?
- (b) Show that if  $x$  and  $y$  both have at most  $k$  non-zero entries, then  $\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{S}_{2k}} = \|x - y\|_2$ .
- (c) Show that any distribution that is  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient in the  $\ell_2$ -norm is also  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient in the  $\mathcal{S}_k$ -norm.

## Challenge problems (turn in as a separate document typeset in LaTeX):

6. Construct a distribution that is  $(\sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon)$ -resilient in the  $\mathcal{S}_k$ -norm for all  $\epsilon < 1/4$ , but not  $(\rho, 1/10)$ -resilient in the  $\ell_2$ -norm for any  $\rho < \Omega(k^{0.1})$ .

*[The constants 1/4, 1/10, 0.1 are all arbitrarily chosen, the point is to show a polynomial separation between  $\mathcal{S}_k$  and  $\ell_2$  for some distribution. Note that your construction will likely need to have  $d/k$  going to  $\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .]*

7. Suppose that  $X \sim p$  is  $\sigma$ -Poincaré and  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz. Show that  $f(X)$  is sub-exponential with parameter  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma L)$ .

*[Hint: Define  $u(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(x)}]$  and compare to  $\text{Var}[e^{\lambda f(x)/2}]$ .]*