# **Minimax Rates for Memory-Constrained Sparse Linear Regression**

#### **Resource-Constrained Learning**

How do we solve statistical problems with limited resources?

- communication / memory constraints (Zhang et al., 2013; Garg et al., 2014; Shamir, 2014)
- privacy, computation constraints (Kasiviswanathan et al., 2011; Duchi et al., 2013; Berthet and Rigollet, 2013)
- NP-hardness of sparse regression (Zhang et al., 2014; Natarajan, 1995)

This work: sparse linear regression under memory constraints.

#### Setting

Sparse linear regression in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

- $Y^{(i)} = \langle w^*, X^{(i)} \rangle + \epsilon^{(i)}$
- $||w^*||_0 = k, k \ll d$

Memory constraint:

- $(Y^{(i)}, X^{(i)})$  observed as read-only stream
- Only keep b bits of state  $Z^{(i)}$  between successive observations



#### **Problem Statement**

How much data n is needed to obtain estimator  $\hat{w}$  with

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{w} - w^*\|_2^2] \le \epsilon?$$

Classical case (no memory constraint):

Theorem (Wainwright, 2009).

$$\frac{k}{\epsilon}\log(d) \lesssim n \lesssim \frac{k}{\epsilon}\log(d)$$

With memory constraints *b*:

**Theorem** (S. & Duchi, 2015).

$$\frac{k}{\epsilon} \frac{d}{b} \lesssim n \lesssim \frac{k}{\epsilon^2} \frac{d}{b}$$

Exponential increase if  $b \ll d!$ 

- strong data-processing inequality
- count-min sketch +  $\ell^1$ -regularized dual averaging – more regularization  $\rightarrow$  easier sketching problem
- $w^*$  in each block: single non-zero coordinate J,  $\pm \delta$  with equal probability • Direct sum argument: reduce to k = 1

- Let  $P_i(Z^{(1:n)})$  be distribution conditioned on J = j• Let  $P_0(Z^{(1:n)})$  be distribution with Y independent of X • Assouad's method:

 $\mathbb{P}[.$ 

#### Jacob Steinhardt John Duchi

{jsteinha, jduchi}@stanford.edu

#### **Proof Overview**

- Lower bound:
- information-theoretic

$$W^* \xrightarrow{X, Y} \xrightarrow{db} Z$$

$$\chi^{\underline{b}}_{\overline{d}}$$

• Upper bound:

#### **Lower Bound Construction**

• Split coordinates into k blocks of size d/k



• Estimation to testing:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w^* - \hat{w}\|_2^2] \ge \frac{\delta^2}{2} \mathbb{P}[J \neq \hat{J}]$$

Looking ahead: bound KL between  $P_i$  and base distribution  $P_0$ 

## **Some Information Theory**

• Let  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(\{\pm 1\}^d)$ 

$$J \neq \hat{J}] \ge \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \operatorname{KL} \left( P_0(Z^{(1:n)}) \| P_j(Z^{(1:n)}) \right)}$$

• Intuition:  $KL(P_0 \parallel P_i)$  small unless Z stores info about  $X_i$ 

## **Strong Data-Processing Inequality**

# **Proposition.** For any $\hat{z}$ ,

Plug into Assouad:



#### Only get $\frac{4\delta^2 b}{d}$ bits per round!

 $w^{(i)}$ 

 $heta^{(i)}$ 

Hard part: determine support of  $w^{(i)}$ .

#### Summary:

- Future work:





Focus on a single index  $Z = Z^{(i)}$ , with  $\hat{z} = z^{(1:i-1)}$  fixed.  $\operatorname{KL}\left(P_0(Z \mid \hat{z}) \parallel P_j(Z \mid \hat{z})\right) \le 4\delta^2 I(X_j; Z \mid Y, \hat{Z} = \hat{z})$ 

 $\leq 4\delta^2 I(X_j; Z, Y \mid \hat{Z} = \hat{z})$ 

$$\operatorname{KL}(P_0 \parallel P_j) \leq \frac{4\delta^2}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d I(X_j; Z, Y \mid \hat{Z})$$
$$\leq \frac{4\delta^2}{d} \underbrace{I(X; Z, Y \mid \hat{Z})}_{b+O(1)}$$

#### **Upper Bound**

Solve  $\ell^1$ -regularized dual averaging problem (Xiao, 2010),  $\lambda \gg 1$ :

$$= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \langle \theta^{(i)}, w \rangle + \lambda \sqrt{n} \| w \|_{1} \right\} \\ = \sum_{i'=1}^{i-1} x^{(i')} (y^{(i')} - \langle w^{(i')}, x^{(i')} \rangle).$$

• Need to distinguish  $|\theta_j| \ge \lambda \sqrt{n}$  (signal) from  $|\theta_j| \approx \sqrt{n}$  (noise)

• Can use count-min sketch, memory usage  $\approx \frac{d \log(d)}{\lambda^2}$  $\implies$  computational-statistical tradeoff; seen before in  $\ell^2$  case (Shalev-Shwartz & Zhang, 2013; Bruer et al., 2014)

#### Discussion

• Upper and lower bounds on memory-constrained regression • Lower bound: extend data processing inequality to handle covariates • Upper bound: use  $\ell^1$ -regularizer to reduce to sketching

• Close the gap  $(kd/b\epsilon \text{ vs } kd/b\epsilon^2)$ • Weaken upper bound assumptions